NOV 18 2020

CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT

XAVIER BECERRA 1 Attorney General of California 2 Nicklas A. Akers Senior Assistant Attorney General 3 Michael Elisofon, SBN 240707 4 Supervising Deputy Attorney General Sarah E. Kurtz, SBN 99881 5 Devin Mauney, SBN 294634 Daniel Osborn, SBN 311037 6 Deputy Attorneys General 7 1515 Clay St., Suite 2000 Oakland, CA 94612 8 Telephone: (510) 879-1300

10 JACKIE LACEY

District Attorney of Los Angeles County

E-mail: Devin.Mauney@doj.ca.gov

Hoon Chun, SBN 132516 12 Assistant Head Deputy

Steven Wang, SBN 221950 13

Deputy District Attorney

14 Jessie Lee Ann McGrath, SBN 131702

Deputy District Attorney 15

211 W. Temple Street, Ste. 1000

16 Los Angeles, CA 90012

Telephone: (213) 257-2450

Email: hchun@da.lacounty.gov

NANCY E. O'MALLEY

District Attorney of Alameda County Matthew L. Beltramo, SBN 184796

Assistant District Attorney

Nancy H. Tung, SBN 203236

Autrey B James, SBN 236516

Deputy District Attorneys

7677 Oakport Street, Suite 650

Oakland, CA 94621

Telephone: (510) 383-8600 Facsimile: (510) 383-8615

Email: matthew.beltramo@acgov.org

(Additional attorneys for the People appearing on the Appendix)

19 20

17

18

9

11

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

COUNTY OF ALAMEDA

21

22

23

24 25

26

27 28

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,

Plaintiff,

V.

APPLE INC.,

Defendant.

[PROPOSED] FINAL JUDGMENT

4 5

6 7

8

10

11

12

13 14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21 22

23

2425

26

27

28

References to the California Attorney General include the California Attorney General's Office and the District Attorneys of Los Angeles, San Diego, Alameda, Santa Clara, and Santa Cruz.

Plaintiff, the People of the State of California (hereinafter, Plaintiff or People), represented

by Xavier Becerra, Attorney General of the State of California, by Devin Mauney, Deputy

Attorney General; Jackie Lacey, District Attorney of Los Angeles County, by Hoon Chun,

Assistant Head Deputy District Attorney, and Steven Wang, Deputy District Attorney; Nancy E.

O'Malley, District Attorney of Alameda County, by Matthew Beltramo, Assistant District

Attorney; Summer Stephan, District Attorney of San Diego County, by Thomas Papageorge,

Deputy District Attorney, and Colleen E. Huschke, Deputy District Attorney; Jeffrey Rosen,

District Attorney of Santa Clara County, by Bud Porter, Supervising Deputy District Attorney;

Jeffrey Rosell, District Attorney of Santa Cruz County, by Douglas Allen and Francisca Allen,

Assistant District Attorneys; and defendant Apple Inc., a corporation ("Defendant" or "Apple"),

appearing through its attorneys Covington & Burling LLP, by Winslow Taub, having stipulated

and consented to the Court's entry of this Final Judgment ("Judgment") in this proceeding and

accepting this Judgment as the final adjudication of this civil action without taking proof and

without trial, without this Judgment constituting evidence of or an admission by Defendant

regarding any issue of law or fact alleged in the Complaint, without Defendant admitting any

Attorneys General of the states and commonwealths of Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas, California¹,

Connecticut, Florida, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana,

Michigan, Minnesota, Missouri, Montana, Nevada, Nebraska, New Jersey, North Carolina, North

Dakota, Ohio, Oregon, Pennsylvania, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Vermont, Virginia,

Wisconsin, and the District Of Columbia (the "Attorneys General" or "States") pursuant to each of

This Judgment is entered to resolve the investigations of Defendant undertaken by the

liability, and with all parties having waived their right to appeal.

² Hawaii is represented by its Office of Consumer Protection. For simplicity purposes, the entire group will be referred to as the "Attorneys General," or individually as "Attorney General." Such designations, however, as they pertain to Hawaii, shall refer to the Executive Director of the Office of Consumer Protection, a division of the Department of Commerce and Consumer Affairs.

| 1 | the States' respective Consumer Protection Laws, including unfair and deceptive acts or practices |
|----|--|
| 2 | statutes. |
| 3 | The Court having considered the matter and good cause appearing: |
| 4 | IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED AS FOLLOWS: |
| 5. | <u>PARTIES</u> |
| 6 | 1. Plaintiff is the People of the State of California. |
| 7 | Defendant is Apple Inc., a company with its principal office located in Cupertino, |
| 8 | California. |
| 9 | JURISDICTION AND VENUE |
| 10 | 3. Apple, at all relevant times, has transacted business in the State of California, |
| 11 | including but not limited to business in the Counties of Alameda, Santa Clara, San Diego, Los |
| 12 | Angeles, and Santa Cruz. |
| 13 | 4. This Court has jurisdiction over the Complaint and the parties necessary for the |
| 14 | Court to enter this Judgment and any orders hereafter appropriate. |
| 15 | 5. Venue is proper in the County of Alameda. |
| 16 | This Judgment is entered pursuant to and subject to the Unfair Competition Law, |
| 17 | Business and Professions Code section 17200 et seq. (the "UCL") and False Advertising Law, |
| 18 | Business and Professions Code section 17500 et seq. (the "FAL"). |
| 19 | DEFINITIONS |
| 20 | 7. "Clear and Conspicuous" means that statements, disclosures, or other information, |
| 21 | by whatever medium communicated, including all electronic devices, are (a) in readily |
| 22 | understandable language and syntax, and (b) in a type size, font, color, appearance, and location |
| 23 | sufficiently noticeable for a consumer to read and comprehend them, in a print that contrasts with |
| 24 | the background against which they appear. |
| 25 | 8. "Consumer Protection Laws" means the consumer protection laws enforced by |
| 26 | Plaintiff, including, as relevant herein, the UCL (Bus. & Prof. § 17200 et seq.) and the FAL (Bus. |
| 27 | & Prof. § 17500 et seq.). |
| 28 | |
| | |

"Covered Conduct" means Apple's business practices, acts and omissions,

9.

1

11

17

21

23

and successors and shall be effective for three (3) years from the Effective Date of this Judgment.

- 18. Apple will maintain easily accessible and prominent webpage(s) that provide Clear and Conspicuous information to consumers about lithium-ion batteries, unexpected shutdowns, and Performance Management. The webpage(s) will provide guidance to consumers on steps they can take to maximize battery health. The webpage(s) will also describe the operation of Performance Management and its impact on iPhone battery and performance.
- 19. If a future iOS update materially changes the impact of Performance Management when downloaded and installed on an iPhone, Apple will notify consumers in a Clear and Conspicuous manner of those changes in the installation notes for the update.
- 20. Apple will provide information to consumers in the iPhone user interface (e.g., Settings > Battery > Battery Health) about the battery, such as the battery's maximum capacity and information about its peak performance capability, as well as a notification of the option to service the battery once the performance of the battery has become significantly degraded.
- 21. Apple will implement procedures to ensure its consumer-facing staff and Appleauthorized iPhone retailers:
 - a. are sufficiently familiar with the information in the webpage(s) described in paragraph 18 of this Judgment, and the iPhone user interface described in paragraph 20;
 - b. communicate such information to consumers wherever relevant; and
 - c. refer consumers to such webpage(s) or interface, where appropriate.

DISPUTE RESOLUTION

22. If one or more Attorneys General reasonably believe that Apple has failed to comply with any term of the injunctive relief, each Attorney General shall provide written notice to Apple, unless the failure to comply threatens the health or safety of the citizens of their state(s) and/or creates an emergency requiring immediate action. Apple shall have sixty (60) days from receipt of such notice to provide a good faith written response, including either a statement that Apple believes it is in full compliance with the relevant provision or a statement explaining how the violation occurred, how it has been addressed or when it will be addressed, and what Apple

will do to make sure the violation does not occur again. The Attorney(s) General may agree to provide Apple with more than sixty (60) days to respond. During the sixty (60) day period, each of the Attorney(s) General shall engage in good faith discussions with Apple, before taking any enforcement action(s), in an attempt to resolve the alleged non-compliance. If Apple notifies the Attorneys General in writing that two or more Attorneys General have notified Apple of alleged violations, the Attorney(s) General that provided notice of alleged violations shall engage in those good faith discussions collectively if possible.

23. Nothing herein shall be construed to exonerate any contempt or failure to comply with any provision of this Judgment after the date of its entry, or to prevent any of the agencies representing the People in this action from initiating a proceeding for any contempt or other sanctions for failure to comply, or to compromise the authority of a court to punish as contempt any violation of this Judgment. Further, nothing in paragraph 22 shall be construed to limit the authority of the agencies representing the People in this action to protect the interests of California or its residents.

MONETARY PAYMENT

- 24. Apple shall pay a total of One Hundred and Thirteen Million Dollars (\$113,000,000.00) to the Attorneys General, to be apportioned amongst the Attorneys General at their sole discretion. As part of this total payment, and pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 17206, Defendant shall pay the sum of twenty-four million six hundred thousand dollars (\$24,600,000.00) to the California Attorney General's Office and the District Attorney's Offices representing the People of the State of California in this action. Payment instructions shall be provided to Apple by the Attorney General no later than seven (7) days after the Effective Date. If the Court has not entered this Judgment by the Effective Date, Apple shall make the payment within sixty (60) days of the Effective Date or within thirty (30) days of the date of entry of the Judgment, whichever is later. Upon completion of the payment described above, Apple shall have no further obligation to assist the agencies representing Plaintiff in their use of the payment. Payment shall be apportioned as follows:
 - a. Four million one hundred thousand dollars (\$4,100,000) shall be paid to the California Attorney General's Office.

- Four million one hundred thousand dollars (\$4,100,000) shall be paid to the District Attorney's Office for the County of Alameda.
- c. Four million one hundred thousand dollars (\$4,100,000) shall be paid to the District Attorney's Office for the County of Los Angeles.
- d. Four million one hundred thousand dollars (\$4,100,000) shall be paid to the District Attorney's Office for the County of San Diego.
- e. Four million one hundred thousand dollars (\$4,100,000) shall be paid to the District Attorney's Office for the County of Santa Clara.
- f. Four million one hundred thousand dollars (\$4,100,000) shall be paid to the District Attorney's Office for the County of Santa Cruz.
- 25. Also out of the total amount due to the Attorneys General set forth in paragraph 24, Apple will make a single payment on behalf of and at the direction of the States, within sixty (60) days of the Effective Date, to funds maintained in trust by the National Association of Attorneys General, in an amount designated and communicated to Apple by the Arizona Attorney General.

RELEASE

- 26. By its execution of this Judgment, California releases and forever discharges Apple and its past and present directors, officers, employees, representatives, agents, affiliates, parents, subsidiaries, predecessors, assigns and successors ("Releasees") from any and all civil causes of action or claims for damages, costs, attorneys' fees, or penalties of any kind that the Attorneys General and agencies representing the People in this action have asserted or could have asserted concerning the Covered Conduct. The Attorneys General and the agencies representing the People in this action execute this release in their official capacity and release only claims that the Attorneys General and said agencies have the authority to bring or release. Nothing contained in this paragraph shall be construed to limit the ability of the agencies representing the People in this action to enforce Apple's obligations under this judgment.
- 27. Notwithstanding any term of this Judgment, specifically reserved and excluded from the release in paragraph 26 as to any entity or Person, including the Releasees, are any and all of the following:
 - a. any criminal liability that any Person or entity, including Releasees, has or

may have to the States;

- any civil or administrative liability that any Person or entity, including Releasees, has or may have to the States under any statute, regulation or rule giving rise to any and all of the following claims;
 - i. state or federal antitrust violations;
 - ii. state or federal securities violations; or
 - iii. state or federal tax claims.
- 28. Nothing in this Judgment shall be construed as excusing or exempting Apple from complying with any applicable state or federal law, rule, or regulation, and no provision of this Judgment shall be deemed to authorize or require Apple to engage in any acts or practices prohibited by any state or federal law, rule, or regulation.

GENERAL PROVISIONS

- 29. The provisions of this Judgment shall be construed in accordance with the laws of California.
- 30. Nothing in this Judgment shall be construed as an approval by the Attorneys General, the agencies representing the People in this action, or any other agency of Apple's past, present, or future conduct.
- 31. Apple shall not represent or imply that the Attorneys General or the agencies representing the People in this action or any other agency has approved or approves of any of Apple's actions or past, present, or future business practices.
- 32. This Judgment shall not bar the California Attorney General, the agencies representing the People in this action, or any other governmental entity from enforcing applicable laws, regulations, or rules against Apple for conduct subsequent to date of entry of this Judgment or otherwise not covered by this Judgment.
- 33. Apple willingly has entered into this Judgment in order to resolve the Attorney General's and the agencies representing this action's claims under the UCL and FAL as to the matters addressed in this Judgment and thereby avoid significant expense, inconvenience, and uncertainty.

- 34. Apple has entered into this Judgment solely for the purposes of settlement, and nothing contained herein may be taken as or construed to be an admission or concession of any violation of law, rule, or regulation, or of any other matter of fact or law, or of any liability or wrongdoing, all of which Apple expressly denies. No part of this Judgment, including its statements and commitments, shall constitute evidence of any liability, fault, or wrongdoing by Apple. This document and its contents are intended to be used in resolving this litigation and not by any other party or for any other purpose.
- 35. This Judgment shall not be construed or used as a waiver or limitation of any defense otherwise available to Apple in any other action, or of Apple's right to defend against, or make any agreements in, any private individual action, class claims or suits, or any other governmental or regulatory action relating to the subject matter or terms of this Judgment. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the California Attorney General and the agencies representing the People in this action may file an action to enforce the terms of this Judgment.
- 36. No part of this Judgment shall create, waive, release or limit a private cause of action or confer any right to any third party for a violation of any state or federal statute.
- 37. The exclusive right to enforce any violation or breach of this Judgment shall be with the parties to this Judgment and the Court.
- 38. Nothing in this Judgment constitutes an agreement by the Plaintiff concerning the characterization of the amounts paid hereunder for purposes of any proceeding under the Internal Revenue Code or any state tax laws. The Judgment takes no position with regard to the tax consequences of the Judgment with regard to federal, state, local, and foreign taxes.
- 39. This Judgment is binding upon Plaintiff and Apple, and any of Apple's respective successors, assigns, or other entities or persons otherwise bound by law.
- 40. The parties will bear their own costs in this action, including any first appearance filing fees, attorneys' fees, and other costs of investigation.
- 41. If any provision of this judgment is found to be invalid or unenforceable in any degree, the provision will be enforced to the maximum extent permissible by law to effectuate the intent of the parties, and the remainder of this judgment will continue in full force.

- 42. This Judgment represents the entire agreement between the parties, and there are no representations, agreements, arrangements, or understandings, oral or written, between the parties relating to the subject matter of this Judgment not fully expressed herein or attached hereto.
- 43. Jurisdiction is retained by the Court for the purpose of enabling any party to the Judgment to apply to the Court at any time for such further orders and directions as may be necessary or appropriate for constructing this Judgment's terms, for modifying any of the injunctive provisions, for enforcing compliance, and for punishing violations, if any.
- 44. This Judgment becomes effective upon entry, which is ordered forthwith. No notice of entry of judgment is required to be served upon Defendant.
- 45. This is a final judgment that resolves all outstanding claims expressly identified in the Complaint filed in the above captioned matter.
- 46. Any notices or other documents required to be sent to the Parties pursuant to this Judgment shall be sent to the following addresses via first class and electronic mail, unless a different address is specified in writing by the party changing such address:

For the Attorney General:

Michael Elisofon
Daniel Osborn
California Attorney General's Office
Consumer Protection Section
1515 Clay Street, 20th Floor
Oakland, CA 94612
Michael.Elisofon@doj.ca.gov
Daniel.Osborn@doj.ca.gov

// // // //

| 1 | |
|----|---|
| 1 | For Apple Inc.: |
| 2 | Winslow Taub |
| 3 | Covington & Burling LLP 415 Mission Street, Suite 5400 |
| 4 | San Francisco, CA 94105-2533 |
| 5 | wtaub@cov.com |
| 6 | Apple Inc. Attn: General Counsel |
| 7 | 1 Apple Park Way |
| 8 | Cupertino, CA 95014 |
| 9 | |
| 10 | DATED this 19 day of November, 2020. |
| 11 | |
| 12 | |
| 13 | JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT |
| 14 | arepsilon |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | * |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | (a) |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| 26 | |
| 27 | |
| 28 | |
| I | 1 |